



न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त निःशक्तजन
Court of Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities
सामाजिक न्याय एवं अधिकारिता मंत्रालय
Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment
निःशक्तता कार्य विभाग / Department of Disability Affairs

Case No.475/1014/2013

Dated:- 21.01.2014

In the matter of:

Dr. Anil K. Aneja,
Vice President,
All India Confederation of the Blind,
Braille Bhawan, Sector-5, Rohini,
Delhi-110085.

..... Complainant

Versus

Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board,
Through the Secretary,
Govt. of NCT of Delhi,
FC-18, Institutional Area,
Karkardooma, Delhi-110092.

..... Respondent

Date of hearing : 30.12.2013

Present:

None appeared on behalf of the parties.

ORDER

The above named complainant filed a complaint dated 24.09.2013 under the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, hereinafter referred to as the Act regarding harassment and discrimination of a blind lady Ms. Alka Nand Kishor by the Delhi Subordinate Services Selection Board (DSSSB).

2. The complainant submitted that Ms. Alka Nand Kishor's application for the post of Special Education/Primary Teacher pursuant to the Advertisement No.01/2012 was wrongfully rejected on the ground that she had not submitted her CTET certificate to DSSSB. However, the representation further stated that Ms. Alka Nand Kishor, in fact, submitted her CTET certificate alongwith more than once along with her other documents while applying in response to the said advertisement. The representation also stated that she drew the attention of the DSSSB to this alleged act of denial and discrimination by submitting two representations which were sent to DSSSB by speed post and by fax respectively. One of the said two representations was sent to DSSSB as stipulated by 06.09.2013 while the other was sent thereafter as no response to her first representation was received and as her

.....2/-

grievance remained unredressed. The representation also added that the written examination for this purpose is stated to be held very soon, i.e. on 26.09.2013 and that not allowing her to sit in the said written examination despite her possessing the requisite qualification and despite her fulfilling at the eligibility criteria will deprive her of a significant opportunity to get a job.

3. The matter was taken up with the Secretary, DSSSB, Government of NCT of Delhi vide this Court's letter dated 25.09.2013 advising them to submit their response to the representation of the complainant as soon as may be but not later than 10 days from the date of receipt of this letter. Copy of the letter was also endorsed to the Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities, Govt. of NCT of Delhi alongwith copy of complaint for follow up action followed by reminder dated 24.10.2013 for submitting the comments by 31.10.2013 and copy of the letter was also endorsed to Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities, Government of NCT of Delhi for intimating the present status of the case. After that neither reply received from the DSSSB nor from Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities, Govt. of NCT of Delhi.

4. As despite reminder dated 24.10.2013, no reply was received from the respondent, the case was scheduled for hearing on 30.12.2013.

5. None appeared on behalf of the parties nor any intimation received about their inability to attend the hearing on 30.12.2013 despite the fact that the Notice of Hearing was sent on 22.11.2013 by speed post.

6. A letter No.F.1(58)/Scrutiny/DSSSB/2013/1284 dated 30.12.2013 has been received from the Deputy Secretary (Scrutiny), DSSSB on 31.12.2013 in this Court. The respondent has informed that the representation relating to harassment and discrimination filed by Ms. Alka D/o Shri Nand Kishore has been examined in detail and her name has been included in the list of eligible candidates who will be called for interview for the post of Special Educator (Primary) post code 01/12 and the same has been uploaded in the DSSSB website vide notice dated 28.11.2013.

7. In view of the above, this Court observes that the grievance of the complainant has been redressed and hence no further intervention of this Court is required in the matter. The case is closed accordingly.

Sd/-

(**P.K. Pincha**)
Chief Commissioner
for Persons with Disabilities